

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/071,306	SETO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DANIEL G. MARIAM	2625	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) DANIEL G. MARIAM.

(3) _____.

(2) WILLIAM J. KLEIN.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 January 2006

Time: 6:00PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 102/103

Claims discussed:

1, 5, 9, 12, 15 and 19

Prior art documents discussed:

Agulnick, et al (5,347,295) & Shin, et al. (6,738,514)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Discussed issues pertinent to the above-identified claims. Applicants' Attorney, namely (William Klein) has agreed to cancel independent claims 5, 9, 15, and 19.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


DANIEL MIRIAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)